

Math 275D Lecture 6 Notes

Daniel Raban

October 9, 2019

1 Markov Property of Brownian Motion

1.1 Proof of the Markov property of Brownian motion

We want to prove the following.

Lemma 1.1 (Markov property of Brownian motion). *Let $Y : C(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow [-M, M]$ be a functional, and let θ_s be the shift by s . Then*

$$\mathbb{E}_x[Y \circ \theta_s \mid \mathcal{F}_s^+] = \mathbb{E}_{B(s)}[Y].$$

Recall the following lemma:

Theorem 1.1 (Monotone class argument). *Let \mathcal{A} be a π -system, and let \mathcal{H} be a collection of functions that satisfies:*

1. *If $A \in \mathcal{A}$, then $1_A \in \mathcal{H}$.*
2. *If $f, g \in \mathcal{H}$, then $f + g \in \mathcal{H}$.*
3. *If $f_n \uparrow f$ with $0 \leq f_n \in \mathcal{H}$, then $f \in \mathcal{H}$.*

Then \mathcal{H} has all bounded measurable functions with respect to $\sigma(\mathcal{A})$.

Now on to the proof of the Markov property.

Proof. We need to show that for any $A \in \mathcal{F}_s^+$ and for any Y , $\mathbb{E}[1_A(Y \circ \theta_s)] = \mathbb{E}[1_A \mathbb{E}_{B(s)}[Y]]$. By the monotone class argument, we only need to prove this for Y s of the form $Y = \prod_{k=1}^n f_k(B(t_k))$; here, \mathcal{A} is the algebra generated by the $B(t)$ s. Then

$$Y \circ \theta_s(B(\cdot)) = \prod_{k=1}^n f_k(B(t_k + s)).$$

The point of this trick now is that the difference between \mathcal{F}_s^0 and \mathcal{F}_s^+ doesn't matter because there is a gap between s and $s + t_1$.

For this Y , let's prove that that the result holds for any $A \in \mathcal{F}_{s+\delta}^0$ with $\delta \leq t_1/2$. So we want to show that

$$\mathbb{E}[Y \circ \theta_s \mid \mathcal{F}_{s+\delta}^0] = \varphi_{B(s+\delta)}^Y$$

for some φ . We only need to show that this holds for $A \in \mathcal{A}_\delta$, where $\mathcal{A}_\delta = \{\bigcap_{k=1}^n \{B(a_k) \in R_k\} : a_k \in [0, s + \delta], R_k \text{ is Borel}\}$, because $\sigma(\mathcal{A}_\delta) = \mathcal{F}_{s+\delta}^0$. So we can calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[1_A(Y \circ \theta_s)] &= \mathbb{E} \left[\prod_{k=1}^n 1_{\{B(a_k) \in R_k\}} \cdot \prod_{k=1}^n f_k(B(t_k + s)) \right] \\ &= \int_{R_1} p_{a_1}(0, \hat{a}_1) d\hat{a}_1 \int_{R_2} p_{a_2 - a_1}(\hat{a}_1, \hat{a}_2) d\hat{a}_2 \cdots \int_{R_n} p_{a_n - a_{n-1}}(\hat{a}_{n-1}, \hat{a}_n) d\hat{a}_n \\ &\quad \cdot \int_R p_{s+\delta - a_n}(\hat{a}_n, \xi) d\xi \int_R p_{t-\delta}(\xi, \hat{t}_1) f_1(\hat{t}_1) dt_1 \cdot \int_R p_{t_2 - t_1}(\hat{t}_1, \hat{t}_2) f_2(\hat{t}_2) d\hat{t}_2 \\ &\quad \cdots \int_R p_{t_m - t_{m-1}}(\hat{t}_{m-1}, \hat{t}_m) f_m(\hat{t}_m) d\hat{t}_m, \end{aligned}$$

where $p_t(x, y)$ is the pdf of a $N(0, t)$ random variable, $p_t(x, y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} e^{-|y-x|^2/2t}$. These integrals should be nested (evaluate them in reverse order).

$$= \mathbb{E}[1_A \varphi_{s+\delta}^Y],$$

where $\varphi_{s+\delta}^Y \in \mathcal{F}_{s+\delta}^0$. In particular, this depends only on $B(s + \delta)$. If we send $\delta \downarrow 0$, this φ has a limit. This limit is exactly $\varphi^Y(B_s) = \mathbb{E}_{B(s)}[Y]$. \square

1.2 Events at 0 and ∞ are trivial

Corollary 1.1. \mathcal{F}_0^+ is trivial.

Proof. \mathcal{F}_0^+ agrees with \mathcal{F}_0^0 mod null sets, but \mathcal{F}_0^0 is trivial. \square

Corollary 1.2. Events depending on $B(t)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ are trivial.

Proof. Define $Y(t) = tB(1/t)$. Then $Y(t)$ is a Brownian motion because they are both have finite dimensional distributions which are Gaussian vectors that agree. But events with $t \rightarrow \infty$ are the same as events for $B(s)$ with $s \downarrow 0$. These are trivial. \square